

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,424	03/25/2005	Pawel Drabarek	10191/3958	4540
26646 KENYON & K	7590 03/29/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			DETSCHEL, MARISSA	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2886	
		<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		T#+				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/529,424	DRABAREK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marissa J. Detschel	2886				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 M</u>	<u>larch 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	Pr.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I					
2) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/05</u> .	6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/529424, filed on March 25, 2005.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on March 25, 2005, has been fully considered by the Examiner.

#### Response to Amendment

The preliminary amendment filed on March 25, 2005, has been entered.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "a glass plate 2.7" which is situated in a first or a second light path 2.5, 2.5" as described in the specification on page 7, lines 28-30. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: An Interferometric Measuring Device Utilizing a Slanted Probe Fiber

# Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- In claim 11, lines 5-6, the phrase "of which one is shifted with respect to the other, with a modulating device in one of its light phase and light frequency, and passes through a delay line" should read "one of which is shifted with respect to the other in one of its light phase a light frequency with a modulating device and passes through a delay line,"
- In claim 11, line 16, the phrase "(3.3)" should be removed.
- In claim 11, the last line, the limitation "the exit surface" is included in this claim, and there is insufficient antecedent basis for this. The Examiner suggests changing this to "the exit face"
- In claim 16, lines 4-5, the phrase "at least one of" should be removed.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, line 7, the first beam component and the second beam component "are subsequently combinable" and in line 10, the limitation of "the combined beam components" is disclosed. Are these the same two beam components? Just because two beam components are combinable does not mean that they are absolutely combined. Upon further examination of this claim, the Examiner takes them to be the same two beam components.

Claim 14, line 2 contains the variable  $\alpha$  and this variable is not defined. What is  $\alpha$ ?

Claims 12-20, which depend from claim 11, inherit the problems of this claim, and are therefore also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 11, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an interferometric measuring device comprising a modulation interferometer and a measuring probe assembly coupled to the interferometric measuring device, wherein the measuring probe assembly comprises a partially transmitting region formed by a slanting exit face of a probe fiber and a slanting entrance face of a fiber section with a wedge-shaped gap being formed between the two faces, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 11.

Claims 12-20, which depend from claim 11, also contain allowable subject matter in view of their dependencies on claim 11.

#### Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of record is Drabarek (USPN 6,741,355). Drabarek discloses an interferometric measuring device utilizing a modulation interferometer and a probe coupled to the modulating interferometer, wherein the probe comprises a fiber and a fiber section separated by an interface in the form of a straight beamsplitter surface for generating a reference wave reflected off of the beamsplitter surface and a traversing measuring wave. (Figure)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa J. Detschel whose telephone number is 571-272-2716. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur Chowdhury can be reached on 571-272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,424

Art Unit: 2886

March 23, 2007 MJD

TARIFUR CHOWDHURY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6